s I	Case 2:08-cv-08603-CBM-AJW Document	nt 1 Filed 12/30/2008 Page 10513
1	Dwight Hunter	FILED .
2	966 Torrey Pine Drive Colton, California 92324	
3	(323) 972-1460 Fax (323) 734-1346	2008 DEC 30 AM 1: 49
4		CLERK. U.S. SINTHOT COURT
5	In Pro Se	GENTRAL DIST. OF CALIF.
6		
7	UNITED STATE	ES DISTRICT COURT
8	CENTRAL DISTR	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
9		00 00000
10		CV 08 - 08603
11	DWIGHT HUNTER	) Case No.
12	Plaintiff (s),	) VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR
13		) CONSPIRARY TO COMMIT
14	vs.	FRAUD, FRAUD, EQUITABLE RELIEF, DECLARATORY FOR
	OWNIT MORTGAGE SOLUTIONS	QUIET TITLE AND INJUNCTIVE
15	INC; SELECT PORTFOLIO	PRELIEF.
16	SERVICING INC, & SAN	) U.S.C. Title 18, § 1001
17	BERNANDINO COUNTY SHERIFF'S	) 0.5.C. Title 18, § 1001
18	DEPARTMENT	) Filing Date:
19	D C 1 (()	Judge:
20	Defendant(s).	Dept:
į	Does 1 through 10	Hearing Date:
21	Does I through To	) Hearing Time: ) Trial Date:
22		) That Bate.
23		
24		
25	<u>PREVIOU</u>	US LAWSUITS
26	No previous lawsuits have been bro	ought by the Plaintiff in a Federal Court
27	regarding the matters and parties as comp	plained of herein.
28		
20		
1	VERIFIED CIVIL CON	MPLAINT FOR FRAUD -1-

2

4 5

678

10

11

9

12 13

1415

16

17 18

19 20

21 22

2324

2526

2728

### **EXHAUSTION OF ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES**

There are no Administrative Remedies available to the allegation as contained herein, and therefore required to commence with this Action.

#### **JURISDICTION**

The Plaintiff, Dwight Hunter is a resident of the State of California, and a Citizen of the United States, presently residing respectively at the above captioned address.

The alleged violation(s) contained herein occurred in the State of California, United States of America, by the Defendant(s) respectively, wherein the offense was committed, in violation of the Plaintiff's rights and applicable State and Federal Statutes, Code, Laws and customs.

The defendant(s) are/is sued in their known Corporate Name's and Capacities, whereas upon discovering the true names and capacities, the Plaintiff would amended the Complaint to reflect the Defendant(s) true names and capacity.

#### STATEMENT OF FACTS

On or about July 5. 2006, the Plaintiff was induced into entering an agreement, between him and the Defendant, Ownit Mortgage Solutions herein referred to as, the "Adjustable Rate (Promissory) Note", wherein the Plaintiff was lead to believe by the Defendant's agent, representatives and/or employees, that the Lender would be providing a loan for the purchase of Real Property in the amount stated therein, and the Plaintiff would be indebted to the Defendant in like, for the loan and interest.

Concurrent with the Promissory Note, a Deed of Trust, was created AS A CONDITION to the "Loan", and the Plaintiff also induced to execute the Trust to the benefit of the Defendant, which provided the Real Property as described therein, as Security for said alleged "LOAN".

Contained with the Promissory Note, and subsequently reiterated within the body of the Deed of Trust, are conditions pursuant to the "LOAN", wherein the Plaintiff, was mislead by advertisements, statements an documents he would be receiving a loan, therein based upon this fraudulent misrepresentation, he executed the Promissory Note and Trust Deed, in which, he agreed he would owe the sum of \$245,000.00, payable to the Defendant or holder in due course of said instruments.

Thereafter the Defendants, Defendant's Assignees, Agents, Employee's or beneficiaries therein, did and continued to make demands for payment of the loan, under threat of enforcement of the Deed of Trust, which the Plaintiff had been induced into executing.

Upon discovery of the facts with regards to the Commercial laws, and subsequent methodology of the Modern Mechanics of Money, Federal Reserve Manual, (Public Information Center Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago P. O. Box 834 Chicago, IL 60690-0834 telephone: 312 322 5111), I was able to ascertain therein that the Note, held by the Defendant was in fact, an instrument, in which they could negotiate for a discounted value, therefore receiving a substantial part of the loan in the negotiation, and sale thereafter of the note.

As such, I have reason to believe the Defendant, Defendant's Agents, Assignee's, Representatives and/or Employee's Conspired to defraud me of the difference between what they actually lent, if any thing, and the value they received upon successfully negotiating the note with other parties unknown to the Plaintiff.

Therefore, upon discovering these facts, I filed this timely complaint to address the matters complained of hereafter.

# FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION CONSPIRACY TO COMMITT FRAUD

Although, the exact nature of the relationship between the Defendant, and its

Successors, assignees and the other parties known to the Defendant, and undisclosed to the Plaintiff, as will be evidenced in the Promissory Note and Deed of Trust as will be submitted at Trial, it will evidence that the documents, have been altered in the form or format utilized for the purpose of negotiating instruments, which are to sold or purchased in accordance with Federal Reserve policy.

As such, the parties who were to benefit, be they lenders, brokers, escrow and like conspired collectively and over the duration of the relations to defraud the Plaintiff of monies.

### SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION FRAUD

The First Cause of Action Incorporated herein, It is an excepted Judicial fact, that a Promissory Note and Deed of Trust are in fact Fraud, as well, in accordance with California Civil Code § 2922, wherein the Defendant simply has nothing to convey, nothing by the way of consideration (money) or real property pursuant to receiving the note or deed from the Plaintiff.

As such, the Promissory Note and Deed of Trust executed by the Plaintiff in favor of the Defendant are fraudulent documents, invalid, inoperative and declarable as such.

There is more than sufficient evidence, which will be presented at Trial, sustaining the Defendant(s) had no intention on lending the Plaintiff monies or other such assets, as is evidence in the body of the Promissory Note or Trust Deed, which failure to provide actual consideration to the Plaintiff; The Defendant(s) knowingly mislead the Defendant to believe they were Principal parties in the Trust Deed, wherein in fact, they had no capacity based upon the prevailing Commercial Laws to lend monies, or other consideration, therein the Defendant actions are barred as a result their individual and collective Fraud, pursuant to Cal. C.C. § 1571. wherein "Fraud is either actual or constructive. 1572. Actual fraud, within the meaning of this

Chapter, consists in any of the following acts, committed by a party to the contract, or with his connivance, with intent to deceive another party thereto, or to induce him to enter into the contract: 1. The suggestion, as a fact, of that which is not true, by one who does not believe it to be true; 2. The positive assertion, in a manner not warranted by the information of the person making it, of that which is not true, though he believes it to be true; 3. The suppression of that which is true, by one having knowledge or belief of the fact; 4. A promise made without any intention of performing it; or, 5. Any other act fitted to deceive.

Thereafter, under the threat of litigation, the Defendant did and continues to collect, attempt monies from the Plaintiff in the form of monthly payments, or the sale of the Plaintiff real property, pursuant to fraudulent documents, as is evidenced by the Defendant's collection notices, and subsequent acts to collect upon an instrument, which they negotiated and received full consideration thereof, therein the by making of demands after the fact, are fraudulent and continual presentment of claims were with malice, and actionable herein by the Plaintiff for full recovery of all monies delivered onto the Defendant under duress and fraud.

# THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION EQUITABLE RELIEF

The First and Second Cause of Action(s) Incorporated herein, the Defendant in fact never lent any of its own pre-existing money, credit, or assets as consideration to purchase the Note or credit agreement from the Plaintiff. When the bank does the forgoing, then in that event, there is an utter *failure of consideration* for the "loan contract". When the Defendant deposited the Plaintiff's \$245,000.00 of newly issued credit into an account, the Defendant created from \$220,000 to \$200,000 of new money (the nominal principal amount less up to ten percent or \$24,000 of reserves that the Federal Reserve would require against a demand deposit of this size). The Defendant received \$220,000 of credit or money of account from the Plaintiff as an asset. GAAP ordinarily would require that the Defendant record a liability account, crediting the Plaintiff's deposit account, showing that the

3

4 5

10 11

12 13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27 28 Defendant owes \$220,000 of money to the Plaintiff, just as if the Plaintiff were to deposit cash or a payroll check into their account.

Should the following appear to be a disputed fact in this case, it is alleged that Defendant refused to lend the Plaintiff the Defendant's own money or assets and recorded a \$245,000 loan from the Plaintiff to the Defendant, which arguably was a \$245,000 deposit of money of account by the Plaintiff, and then when the Plaintiff repaid the Defendants by paying its own credit (money of account) in the amount of \$245,000 to third-party sellers of goods and services for the account of Plaintiff, the Plaintiff were repaid their loan to Defendant, and the transaction was complete.

None of the following material facts are disclosed in the credit application or Note or were advertised by Defendant to prove that the Plaintiff is the true lenders and the Defendant is the true borrower. The Defendant is trying to use the credit application form or the Note to persuade and deceive the Plaintiff into believing that the opposite occurred and that the Plaintiff was the borrower and not the lender. The following point is undisputed: The Plaintiff's loan of their credit to Defendant, when issued and paid from their deposit or credit account at Defendant, became money in the Federal Reserve System (subject to a reduction of up to ten percent for reserve requirements) as the newly issued credit was paid pursuant to written orders, including checks and wire transfers, to sellers of goods and services for the account of Plaintiff.

### **FOURTH OF ACTION QUIET TITLE**

The First, Second and Third Cause of Actions Incorporated herein, the Plaintiff is and at all times herein mentioned the owner and/or entitled to possession of the property located at 996 Torrey Pines Drive, Colton CA 92324.

Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon allege that the Defendant, and each of them, claim an interest in the property adverse to plaintiff herein. However, the claim of said Defendant is without any right whatsoever, and said Defendant have not legal or equitable right, claim, or interest in said property.

Plaintiff requests Judicial Notice of the discovered errors pursuant to the Fraudulent Deed of Trust, and subsequent attempt to propagate the fraudulent document on the open market, and deprive the Plaintiff of the equitable value pursuant to the Trustee sales, wherein the sale is in violation of the Rosenthal Act.

Wherein, the Defendant, purporting to act as a debt collector, are attempting to recover on a promissory note, collect a debt, that is at present in dispute, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692(q), et seq.

Furthermore, the Trustee's Sale by the Defendant is barred by the doctrine of "ultra vires" on the grounds the Defendant are not duly appointed to conduct said Trustee Sale pursuant to C.C. § 2934, 12 U.S.C. § 3754(d)(1).

For which, the Plaintiff has no recourse but too complain herein for relief pursuant to Civil Code § 2934 & 15 U.S.C. § 1692(q) in order to protect his rights.

Plaintiff therefore seek a declaration that the title to the subject property is vested in plaintiff alone and that the defendant herein, and each of them, be declared to have no estate, right, title or interest in the subject property and that said defendant, and each of them, be forever enjoined from asserting any estate, right, title or interest in the subject property adverse to plaintiff herein.

# FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

10

6

17 18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27

28

The First, Second, Third and Fourth Cause of Action(s) Incorporated herein, the Plaintiff requests Injunctive Relief from the Defendants acts to posse, sale, encumber or propagate the distribution of real property.

The Defendants acts as complained of herein, are egregious and with malice and forethought, as a matter of practice. Wherein, were their acts to go unnoticed, the damages to the Plaintiff as well as other victims of the Defendants fraudulent practices would be irreparable.

The Plaintiff is at risk of losing his primary residence, equity in real

property and legal relief, therefore resulting in great or irreparable injury, to the Plaintiff. California Code of Procedure, § 525-527, When it appears by the complaint that the plaintiff is entitled to the relief demanded, and the relief, or any part thereof, consists in restraining the commission or continuance of the act complained of, either for a limited period or perpetually. (2) When it appears by the complaint or affidavits that the commission or continuance of some act during the litigation would produce waste,. (3) When it appears, during the litigation, that a party to the action is doing, or threatens, or is about to do, or is procuring or suffering to be done, some act in violation of the rights of another party to the

The Plaintiffs meet the standards for issuance of a preliminary injunction, as the accompanying memorandum of law demonstrates.

action respecting the subject of the action, and tending to render the judgment ineffectual.

The Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court issue a Preliminary Temporary and Permanent Injunction, enjoining all parties from acting against the Real Property as complained for herein, wherein a delay would cause irreparable injury to the Plaintiff, and a miscarriage of justice.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays for a judgment against defendant and each of them, as follows:

- This Court make a finding of fact, that the Promissory Note and Deed of Trust as complained for herein be declared Fraudulent Documents as such invalid in their respective legal application(s) or intent(s).
- 2. This Court make a finding of fact, wherein the Defendant has suffered

1	,
1	
2	
3	
4 5 6 7 8	
5	
6	
7	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

The Equitable value, in addition to Damages for monies paid to the Defendant(s) pursuant to the Fraudulent Notes and Deeds.

- 3. The Defendant is entitled to Injunctive Relief pending the Court review of the matters complained of herein.
- 4. For an order compelling said Defendant, Ownit Mortgage Solutions Inc, and each of them, to transfer legal title and possession of the subject property to Plaintiff herein;
- 5. For a declaration and determination that Plaintiff is the rightful holder of title to the property and that Defendant Ownit Mortgage Solutions Inc herein, and each of them, be declared to have no estate, right, title or interest in said property;
- 6. Cost the of Suit.

#### **VERIFICATION**

The allegation contained herein, is the truth or my belief in the truth of those matters "under penalty of perjury."

Respectfully submitted

December 8, 2008

Dwight Hunter 966 Torrey Pine Drive Colton, California 92324 (323) 972-1460 Fax (323) 734-1346

In Pro Se

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

	•						_					٠,		
I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if you are representing yourself v) DWIGHT HUNTER						DEFENDANTS OWNIT MORTGAGE SOLUTIONS, INC.; SELECT PORTFOLIO □ SERVICING INC, □ & SAN BERNANDINO SHERIFF'S□ □								
(b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number. If you are representing yourself, provide same.)					representing	Attorneys	(If Known)							
	966 TORREY PINE DRI	VE□												
	COLTON, CALIFORNIA													
							RINCIPAL I			For Diversity Cases efendant.)	s Only			
□1U	S. Government Plaintiff	<b>2</b> 3	Federal Question (U.S.				•	PŢF	DEF	•			PTF	DEF
			Government Not a Party	)	Citizen of This S	tate		<b>Y</b> 1	1 □ 1 Incorporated or Prin of Business in this S			Place	□4	<b>3</b> 4
□ 2 U	.S. Government Defendan	t □4	Diversity (Indicate Citiz of Parties in Item III)	enship	Citizen of Anoth	er State		□2	□2	Incorporated and Principal Place ☐ 5 ☐ 5 of Business in Another State				□ 5
					Citizen or Subject	ct of a Fore	eign Country	□ 3	□ 3	Foreign Nation			□6	□6
IV. O	RIGIN (Place an X in on	e box o	nly.)							-				
<b>1</b> 0	To Original □ 2 Removed from □ 3 Remanded from □ 4 Reinstated or □ 5 Transferred from another district (specify): □ 6 Multi- Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Reopened □ 5 Transferred from another district (specify): □ 6 Multi- District Judge from													
										Litig	ation	Magi	strate .	Judge
v. Ri	EQUESTED IN COMPL	AINT:	JURY DEMAND: □	res 🗹	No (Check 'Yes'	only if de	manded in co	mplain	t.)					
CLAS	S ACTION under F.R.C	.P. 23:	☐ Yes ☑ No			IONEY D	EMANDED :	IN CO	MPLA	AINT: \$				
VI. C	AUSE OF ACTION (Cit	e the U.	S. Civil Statute under whi	ch vou a	re filing and writ	e a brief st	atement of car	use. D	o not c	ite iurisdictional st	atutes un	less dive	rsity)	
	FRAUD, CONSPIRACY,						5 100			j 15 G1 G1 G1 G1 G1	acatoo an	1000 0110	1010,1	
	NATURE OF SUIT (Plac			•		1 💝		<b></b>						
***************************************			• /											
202220000000000000000000000000000000000	OTHER STATUTES		CONTRACT	DED	TORTS		TORTS			PRISONER		LAB	38881Ω00000	
	State Reapportionment Antitrust		Insurance Marine		SONAL INJURY Airplane	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	PERSONAL PROPERTY		***************************************	PETITIONS  Motions to	□ 710	Fair Lab Act	or Star	ndards
	Banks and Banking		Miller Act		Airplane Product		Other Fraud		_ 510	Vacate Sentence	□ 720		lemt.	
□ 450	Commerce/ICC	□ 140	Negotiable Instrument		Liability	□ 371	Truth in Len			Habeas Corpus		Relation		
<b>-</b> 460	Rates/etc.	□ 150	Recovery of	□ 320	Assault, Libel & Slander	□ 380	Other Person			General		Labor/M		
	Deportation Racketeer Influenced		Overpayment & Enforcement of	□ 330	Fed. Employers'	m 205	Property Dar Property Dar			Death Penalty		Reportin		
□ <del>1</del> /0	and Corrupt		Judgment		Liability	L 363	Product Liab		LJ 340	Other		Disclosu Railway		
	Organizations	□ 151	Medicare Act		Marine	В	ANKRUPTCY	201100000000000	□ 550	Civil Rights	1	Other La		7 100
	Consumer Credit	□ 152	Recovery of Defaulted	□ 343	Marine Product Liability	□ 422	Appeal 28 U	JSC		Prison Condition		Litigatio		
	Cable/Sat TV Selective Service		Student Loan (Excl.	□ 350	Motor Vehicle	□ 422	158 Withdrawal	ر ،  ا	2000 W 1000 W 100 W 100	PENALTY	□ <b>7</b> 91			
	Securities/Commodities/	□ 153	Veterans) Recovery of	□ 355	Motor Vehicle		USC 157		~~~~	Agriculture		Security PERTY		TS
	Exchange		Overpayment of	□ 360	Product Liability Other Personal	C	IVIL RIGHTS			Other Food &		Copyrig		
□ 875	Customer Challenge 12		Veteran's Benefits		Injury		Voting			Drug	□ 830			
TT 800	USC 3410	4	Stockholders' Suits Other Contract	□ 362	Personal Injury-	II 440	Employment Housing/Acc		□ 625	Drug Related Seizure of		Tradema IAL SE		ΓV
	Other Statutory Actions			- 265	Med Malpractice Personal Injury-	113	mmodations			Property 21 USC	□ 861	80.00000 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	
	Other Statutory Actions Agricultural Act	4	Contract Product	11 1 365			*** 10				L .		-	23)
□ 892		□ 195	Liability	□ 363	Product Liability	□ 444	Welfare			881	□ 862	Black L	mg (24	
	Agricultural Act Economic Stabilization Act	□ 195 □ 196	Liability Franchise		Product Liability Asbestos Persona		American w			Liquor Laws	□ 863	DIWC/E	NWW	
□ 893	Agricultural Act Economic Stabilization Act Environmental Matters	□ 195 □ 196	Liability Franchise REAL PROPERTY		Product Liability Asbestos Persona Injury Product		American was Disabilities	-	□ 640	Liquor Laws R.R. & Truck	□ 863	DIWC/E (405(g))	oiww	Ţ
□ 893 □ 894	Agricultural Act Economic Stabilization Act	□ 195 □ 196 □ 210	Liability Franchise	□ 368	Product Liability Asbestos Persona	ıl 🗆 445	American was Disabilities Employment	- t	□ 640 □ 650	Liquor Laws R.R. & Truck Airline Regs	□ 863 □ 864	DIWC/E (405(g)) SSID Ti	DIWW tle XV	I
□ 893 □ 894 □ 895	Agricultural Act Economic Stabilization Act Environmental Matters Energy Allocation Act	□ 195 □ 196 □ 210 □ 220	Liability Franchise REAL PROPERTY Land Condemnation	□ 368 □ <b>1</b> 0	Product Liability Asbestos Persona Injury Product Liability MIGRATION Naturalization	ıl 🗆 445	American was Disabilities	- t ith	□ 640 □ 650	Liquor Laws R.R. & Truck	□ 863 □ 864 □ 865	DIWC/E (405(g)) SSID Ti	DIWW tle XV 5(g))	
□ 893 □ 894 □ 895	Agricultural Act Economic Stabilization Act Environmental Matters Energy Allocation Act Freedom of Info. Act Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal	☐ 195 ☐ 196 ☐ 210 ☐ 220 ☐ 230 ☐ 240	Liability Franchise REAL PROPERTY Land Condemnation Foreclosure Rent Lease & Ejectment Torts to Land	□ 368 □ IN □ 462	Product Liability Asbestos Persons Injury Product Liability MIGRATION Naturalization Application	ıl □ 445	American w Disabilities Employment American w Disabilities - Other	- t ith -	□ 640 □ 650	Liquor Laws R.R. & Truck Airline Regs Occupational Safety /Health	□ 863 □ 864 □ 865 □ FEDI □ 870	DIWC/L (405(g)) SSID Ti RSI (403 ERAL T Taxes (U	DIWW tle XV 5(g)) AX SU J.S. Pla	IITS
□ 893 □ 894 □ 895 □ 900	Agricultural Act Economic Stabilization Act Environmental Matters Energy Allocation Act Freedom of Info. Act Appeal of Fee Determi- nation Under Equal Access to Justice	☐ 195 ☐ 196 ☐ 210 ☐ 220 ☐ 230 ☐ 240 ☐ 245	Liability Franchise REAL PROPERTY Land Condemnation Foreclosure Rent Lease & Ejectment Torts to Land Tort Product Liability	□ 368 □ IN □ 462	Product Liability Asbestos Persona Injury Product Liability MIGRATION Naturalization Application Habeas Corpus-	ıl □ 445	American w Disabilities Employment American w Disabilities Other Other Civil	- t ith -	□ 640 □ 650 □ 660	Liquor Laws R.R. & Truck Airline Regs Occupational Safety /Health	□ 863 □ 864 □ 865 □ FEDI □ 870	DIWC/L (405(g)) SSID Ti RSI (405 ERAL T Taxes (U or Defen	olww tle XV 5(g)) AX SU J.S. Pla idant)	ITS aintiff
□ 893 □ 894 □ 895 □ 900	Agricultural Act Economic Stabilization Act Environmental Matters Energy Allocation Act Freedom of Info. Act Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice Constitutionality of	☐ 195 ☐ 196 ☐ 210 ☐ 220 ☐ 230 ☐ 240 ☐ 245	Liability Franchise REAL PROPERTY Land Condemnation Foreclosure Rent Lease & Ejectment Torts to Land	□ 368  ■ IN □ 462 □ 463	Product Liability Asbestos Persons Injury Product Liability MIGRATION Naturalization Application	ai □ 445 □ 446 □ 440	American w Disabilities Employment American w Disabilities - Other	- t ith -	□ 640 □ 650 □ 660	Liquor Laws R.R. & Truck Airline Regs Occupational Safety /Health	□ 863 □ 864 □ 865 ■ FEDI □ 870 □ 871	DIWC/L (405(g)) SSID Ti RSI (405 ERAL T Taxes (U or Defen IRS-Thi	olww tie XV 5(g)) AX SU J.S. Pia idant) rd Part	ITS aintiff
□ 893 □ 894 □ 895 □ 900	Agricultural Act Economic Stabilization Act Environmental Matters Energy Allocation Act Freedom of Info. Act Appeal of Fee Determi- nation Under Equal Access to Justice	☐ 195 ☐ 196 ☐ 210 ☐ 220 ☐ 230 ☐ 240 ☐ 245	Liability Franchise REAL PROPERTY Land Condemnation Foreclosure Rent Lease & Ejectment Torts to Land Tort Product Liability	□ 368  ■ IN □ 462 □ 463	Product Liability Asbestos Persona Injury Product Liability MIGRATION Naturalization Application Habeas Corpus- Alien Detainee	ai □ 445 □ 446 □ 440	American w Disabilities Employment American w Disabilities Other Other Civil	- t ith -	□ 640 □ 650 □ 660	Liquor Laws R.R. & Truck Airline Regs Occupational Safety /Health	□ 863 □ 864 □ 865 ■ FEDI □ 870 □ 871	DIWC/L (405(g)) SSID Ti RSI (405 ERAL T Taxes (U or Defen	olww tie XV 5(g)) AX SU J.S. Pia idant) rd Part	ITS aintiff

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Case Number:

AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM CV-71, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.

Wage Lof 2

# Case 2:08-cv-08603-CBM-AJW Document 1 Filed 12/30/2008 Page 11 of 13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES: Ha If yes, list case number(s):	s this action been p	reviously filed in this court a	nd dismissed, remanded or closed? ☑ No □ Yes				
VIII(b). RELATED CASES: Have If yes, list case number(s):	e any cases been pro	eviously filed in this court tha	at are related to the present case? VNo Yes				
□ B. □ C.	Arise from the sam Call for determinat: For other reasons w	e or closely related transaction ion of the same or substantial would entail substantial duplic	ons, happenings, or events; or Ily related or similar questions of law and fact; or cation of labor if heard by different judges; or t, and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.				
IX. VENUE: (When completing the	following informat	tion, use an additional sheet i	f necessary.)				
(a) List the County in this District;  ☐ Check here if the government, it	California County of a gencies or emplo	outside of this District; State so	if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides.  this box is checked, go to item (b).				
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country				
SAN BERNANDINO							
(b) List the County in this District;  □ Check here if the government, it	California County o s agencies or emplo	outside of this District; State in oppers is a named defendant.	if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides.  If this box is checked, go to item (c).				
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country				
SAN BERNANDINO			Washington				
Note: In land condemnation concerns in this District:*  San Berrard:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	on of the tract of land involv	California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country				
* Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernar Note: In land condemnation cases, us	dino, Riverside, V	entura, Santa Barbara, or Se tract of land involved					
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (	OR PRO PER): \succeq		Date December 8, 2008				
or other papers as required by lav	v. This form, approvourt for the purpose	ved by the Judicial Conferenc of statistics, venue and initiat	rmation contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings e of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed ting the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)				
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of	f Cause of Action				
861	НІА	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))					
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)					
863	DIWC	•	l workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as iled for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))				
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widow Act, as amended. (42 U.S.	rs or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security .C. 405(g))				
864	SSID	All claims for supplementa	ntal security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security				

865

RSI

All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42

Act, as amended.

U.S.C. (g))

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

### NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge Consuelo B. Marshall and the assigned discovery Magistrate Judge is Andrew J. Wistrich.

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

2:CV08- 8603 CBM (AJWx)

A	all discovery related motions	s shoi	ald be noticed on the calendar	of th	e Magistrate Indge
-			are of noticed on the caronal	or ur	o iviagistrate vaage
_					
			NOTICE TO COUNSEL		
	py of this notice must be served v , a copy of this notice must be ser		e summons and complaint on all der n all plaintiffs).	endar	nts (if a removal action is
Sub	sequent documents must be filed	at the	following location:		
[X]	Western Division 312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8 Los Angeles, CA 90012	U	Southern Division 411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053 Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516		Eastern Division 3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134 Riverside, CA 92501
Failu	re to file at the proper location will res	ult in ye	our documents being returned to you.		

Case 2:08-cv-08603-CBM-AJW	Document 1	Filed 12/30/2	2008 Page 13	of 13
Dwight Hunter				
966 Torrey Pines Drive				
Colton, California 92324				
	,			
UNITED	STATES DIST	RICT COURT		
		F CALIFORNIA		
DWIGHT HUNTER	CAS	E NUMBER		
		0.0	0 0 0 0 0	~ B B
V.	AINTIFF(S)	08 -	08603	COUNTY
OWNIT MORTGAGE SOLUTIONS, INC;				
SELECT PORTFOLIO SERVICING, INC,				
SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY SHERIFF	LDEPT	G	TIRARA A O NIC	
DÊSE.	) NDANT(S).	3	SUMMONS	
TO: DEFENDANT(S): <u>OWNIT MORTG</u>	AGE SOLUTIO	ONS. INC: SELEC	CT PORTFOLIO S	ERVICING #
		<u> </u>	or rotti obio b	ERVICINO,
A lawsuit has been filed against you.	•			
Within 20 days after service of the	is summons on	you (not counting	the day you read	und it) was
must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the at	tached 🗹 comp	laint □	amended co	mnlaint
🗆 counterclaim 🗆 cross-claim or a motion un	der Rule 12 of t	the Federal Rules	of Givil Procedure	. The answer
or motion must be served on the plaintiff's att 966 Torrey Pines Drive Colton, California	orney, <u>Dwight</u>			hose address is
judgment by default will be entered against yo	ou for the relief	demanded in the	complaint Vou al	ou fail to do so,
your answer or motion with the court.			omplant. Tou an	so must me
		STROPY NA	\FISI	
	C	lerk, U.S. District		
DEC 3.0 2008	2	Olar, O.S. District	Court	•
·			TERROR NATIONAL	
Dated:	В	By:	MARGO MAD	<del></del>
		Dep	uty Clerk	
		(Seal fo	f the Court)	
		(	CEAL)	
II so 60 days if the defendant in the I british States and	This Iss			
[Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)].	Unitea States agen	cy, or is an officer or	employee of the Unite	d States. Allowed
*				
			•	
CV-01A (12/07)	SIIMMONS			····